

Rensselaer Union
Executive Board

Attendance

4/20/17

Date

MISSU

~~Chip Kirchner, President of the Union~~

Shannon Gillespie McComb, Vice President of the Union

Amanda Youmans, Graduate Rep

Conrad Mossi, Class of 2017 Rep / MAP Chair

Erica Lane, Class of 2018 Rep

Matthew Rand, Class of 2019 Rep / Policies Chair

President of the Union

Ananya Murali, Class of 2020 Rep

Ines Roman, Club/ICA Rep

Stephanie Kern-Allely, Club/ICA Rep

Kay Sun, Club/ICA Rep

Luke Kuprenas, Club/ICA Rep

Rasika Ekhalikar, Club/ICA Rep / BusOps Chair

Ciera Williams, Club/ICA Rep

Chris Hoskins, Club/ICA Rep

John Fantell, Member at Large

Anissa Choiniere, Member at Large

Caitlin Kennedy, Member at Large

Majken Rasmussen, Member at Large

Secretary

~~Dan Rogers, UC Rep~~

Jen Church, GC Rep

Thomas Alappat, Senate E-Board Liason

Jack Wellhofer, The Polytechnic/

Amber Guro

Conrad Mossi

Erica Lane

Matthew Rand

Ines Roman

Stephanie Kern-Allely

Kay Sun

Luke Kuprenas

Rasika Ekhalikar

Chris Hoskins

John Fantell

Anissa Choiniere

Caitlin Kennedy

Majken Rasmussen

Matt He

Thomas Alappat

Jack Wellhofer

Rensselaer Union
Executive Board

Guests

Steven Allard

Joe Campo

Jared Segal

Adam Hill

Carlin McChug

Cameron Mlean

Sidney Kochman

Aidan Gorby

Christian Lopez

Joseph Venusta

Rensselaer Union Executive Board Meeting Minutes April 20th, 2017

UPAC Reallocation

- UPAC was given After Dark's to plan this year and got moved into their budget but money for the NRB events were taken from their budget
- Want to reallocate money left over into this program
- Questions:
 - Going to budget differently? Requested a separate fund and went to UPAC summer budget bc FYE does most of the NRB ones

Motion 1: Reallocate \$3240 within the UPAC budget

Wording/Language?

Where is it being reallocated to?

Motion being edited to reflect move to "ECAV After Dark"

Merits?

10-0-4

Motion passes!

Sports Car

- Club almost sunsetted this year bc not allowed to host races on campus anymore
- Moving to car enthusiast club, want to keep interest alive, budget zeroed out
- Had made money from event they had earlier, need \$38
- Questions:
 - Will have to come before the board to ask for more money
 - Bringing this now so that next year's board will know what happened with the club
 - Also approving a program and the money

Motion 2: Approve the RSCA to attend racing events and reallocate \$120 within their budget.

Grant \$38 subsidy for their BBQ

Wording/Language?

Made \$150 from program this year, have \$52 of own money, have \$172 in their budget

Merits?

Problem with giving them extra \$\$ for food?

This is a late term fix because of not knowing the direction of the club for next year, club will have to redo their budget

15-0-2

Motion Passes!

RPI TV Recommendation

- Jason, President of RPI TV here to continue discussion from last week
- Chip asked board to look at leadership training, etc. for RPI TV
- In communication about debates within RPI TV, sent to Chip, Chris, and people involved in the debates

- Other issues: 40% community membership-have 20 members in RPI TV, 3 alumni not sure where the 40% comes from
- Main motive behind letter was not to discredit a former member, felt that the person in charge failed in their responsibility of the debates, person had failed in other places as well, wanted to describe the actions they have taken, wanted to describe the actions the executive committee is taking and that they have stepped up
- Has been a learning experience for everybody on the executive committee and Jason
- Mug information: finds it to be an absurd and titled thing for someone to request, none of the current members have been involved in such a request; not entirely sure if there was a miscommunication in the president before the president before Jason when talking about the primary debates
- Campus facilities in February: with a former member of RPI TV they encountered multiple issues with, but perpetrated by a single former member of the club, reached out to him, rebuked him for his actions. Other instances in which they tried to speak with him regarding behavior, some were effective some were not. No changes in behavior overall, tried to help him succeed, thought the best action was to enforce their constitution
- 40% membership comes from member count on CMS
 - correct number would be on website, need to update CMS
- Discussion/Questions:
 - With regards to proposed training, see any drawbacks to this?
 - From what he sees with new committee and new members, most of their skills have been done in the field, since they have these opportunities he believes it is important that they keep to their mission.
 - Does not believe such a program will help them that much
 - Have progressed a lot and learned a lot after the incidents
 - Have any community members?
 - No
 - What do you think would help in this situation?
 - What he's seen in this Exec committee was communicating and reaching out to administrative staff and communicating with people they strained relationships with
 - Reached out to the members who have been causing such issues
 - Seen improvements with athletics already (their relationship)
 - Have been striving to improve relationships
 - Admin staff: do you think RPI TV would benefit from having leadership training?
 - Knowing the Archer Center, they can help pretty much anybody
 - Incident in Feb with the field house/misuse of campus facilities, taken action with the individual. Did he meet all of the expectations you set for him?
 - He scheduled appointments to apologize, never fully satisfied the conditions they set for him
 - Bc of incident during GM Week that you then took action?

- Before GM Week, there was conversation amongst the Executive Committee. He failed to fulfill his role
 - Discussing a letter of apology about debates and going to initiate impeachment proceedings about the member and then he resigned
- From the past year experience, what would you say are improvements that are needed as the current president?
 - Back In august, upset about the culture of the club, Jason was considering leaving but was convinced to stay
 - There are certain traits he needs to personally develop and found issues within the operations and leadership in club that need to be developed
- Based on this, why do you think the Archer Center wouldn't help? Maybe Archer Center would be good to help because of the issues you have identified
 - Former president reached out to Archer Center and was something they were enthusiastic about, one former member said they wouldn't have enough time, spurned any development
- Saying you DON'T want to us to tell you to go to leadership/conflict resolution training?
 - Saying he thinks they can go of their own accord if necessary
- Elaborate on the culture of the club?
 - When he joined, he was passionate about video editing and recording, over time began to see what the Exec Committee could be doing and was upset with the way some of the members treated other people in club and in RPI
 - Reached out to people about it, that's what swanned his desire to leave and sees this as an opportunity to make the Exec Committee better
 - Since debates, have been at 10 events, sent out orders, planning productions, looking to go to Ireland in November, very committed to making RPI TV run well again
- Steph-for two years there has been disappointing leadership in RPI TV and it is kind of cultured in and even though you are trying to do things right, thinks we need to step in and change that and make RPI TV how it used to be
 - A lot of new voices on the committee with new ideas, eager to be involved, want to make sure everybody can understand other people's point of views, will not tolerate any kind of bad behavior anymore
 - Want to make sure they do their job and they provide a service to the campus
- Thank you for being here and answering all these questions. Confused about how the current issues and the improvements you want to make don't warrant the Archer Center coming in. Great for new leadership and can help redefine the culture. If some do not want to, then maybe that's something that you need to take a look at. Why do you think it would be best that the E-Board do NOT recommend that RPI TV go through leadership training?
 - As the person who was pushing back against archer center activities is no longer involved, the entire club might be involved and willing. If the

membership can be inspired to take this on, instead of mandated, they will show better as leadership.

- Going to Archer Center is a yes, but being told to is an “eh”
- Recently since the incident, things have been good. Always a possibility that things will go back to how they used to be and without a solid plan on how to address the issues, this is possible. If the group doesn’t voluntarily take the training, what would you do? Any workshops you have ideas for If they say no? Leadership, etc. if not the Archer Center, what plans do you have?
 - Something they’ve wanted for a long time, equipment training would empower members to be able to teach others how to use the equipment and take on leadership that way. Would increase skills and give them a sense of ownership of the equipment and be able to say “I can do this.” Important facet of leadership
- Haven’t specifically reflected on any mistakes/”admitted” any. Not like E-Board is enforcing a punishment, but we want to improve the club and help it operate any better. Harder to accept when it is something we enforce on the club, but would it be a better solution if we suggest it instead of mandate it? Try to convince members to go to do the training? No shame in asking for help, especially for such an important club and that handles so much
 - Believe that the wording of the motion in that sense would be okay.
- Archer Center is here to help clubs!
- Have you talked to your membership about the training?
 - Talked to them, some were not hostile to the idea of leadership training, but were taken aback by the accusations against RPI TV having had no involvement in such incidents (new members)
 - Reaction to communication
- Member of the Exec Committee, feels like it would sour the image of the Archer Center for him if he were forced to go

Motion 3: E-Board require RPI TV go to Archer Center for training, and require CMS membership to be updated

Wording/language?

Time limit they should complete it by-like end of year 2017 or October or before they can use any funds

Motion edited to say: conditions to be completed before use of any funds for FY18

Merits:

- Understood concerns about mandating, but feels that bc they are younger members, views them as a service club because they provide a service, but not sure if they do. Thinks leadership experience should be mandated because younger and this is all collaborative, we aren’t an authority figure over them, we want to help them, wishes timing to be end of calendar year or October, etc. Doesn’t want to hinder them
- Definitely need leadership training, 3 concerns: timeline-must take their convenience into consideration, need to know how much time they will have, need to make sure next rep communicates with entire membership, if we do strongly recommend then we need to make sure they do it.

- Mandating, suggesting, saying “hey this is a good idea” and recommend it. If the best way is to mandate it then so be it. Lay out a timeline, should be a little longer because they important part here is to communicate with them and they need to still maintain club operations, this is not meant to be a punishment this is meant to be a support
- RPI TV does hold an NRB event and possibly summer Arch things, don’t want them to not be able to do some things that are valuable to people
- Do not want to create a negative relationship with them, they do a lot and we want to foster a healthy relationship with them
- In support of sooner deadline-limiting use of funds will open their eyes, didn’t get the impression from his talk that he will understand the point of why we are meeting and addressing this issue; would prefer they undergo the training before the FY 18
- Include all membership including future membership
- Suggest maybe before they purchase any equipment?
- Motion was amended to say October 31, 2017. Hard deadline or date is good, equipment might be hindering like if something breaks, that sounds like a punishment
- What If they don’t do it by that date? Will be put on probation
- Could add to say “no equipment without the approval of the E-Board”
- Explain why they are getting this training in the motion
- Maybe say “by this hard deadline or their first equipment purchase”

Motion now reads: require RPI TV to undergo Archer Center training; conditions to be completed before October 31 or their first equipment purchase, whichever is first
Merits?

Conrad-thinks this would be better as a suggestion

12-2-3

Motion passes!

P-Board Appointment

Andrew-sophomore, involved with UPAC lights since his first semester, joined P-board as facilities chair, joined UPAC Cinema, been tasked with After Dark series

Noticed some UPACS can improve their programming; more attendance

Would like to see After Dark Series made into a subcommittee, P-board should be a place for subcommittee to come to and other clubs to come to

Work with admin office in special events

Questions:

- New things: Summer Arch plans and GM Week?
 - GM Week was already part of the P-Board, so worked with them before, committee came to board weekly, they as a committee would help them, would like to see more members coming out of their subcommittee
 - Would like to see student involvement in UPAC Summer programming, have already seen members approach him about being involved
- GM Week uses their office for archives and anything that they use throughout the week
- What are your plans to draw more non UPAC members?

- Work with other clubs, work with the multicultural board to get more students involved
- Make After darks a new subcommittee, how do you plan to ensure that people plan and it happens and continues to get done?
 - Take interest from members of P-Board and if the interest exists, make them involved, if not enough interest would expand the search to other clubs. Work diligently to find members who would want to plan
- What clubs have you been involved with? What challenges have you faced? What do you see your role as in future projects?
 - Member of RSA and National Residence hall Honorary
 - Challenges: As a member of RSA, problem with movies in Residence Halls, stood ground as it was an illegal issue
 - Would like to be involved in planning and helping to make sure everything runs smoothly
- How are you going to represent the smaller UPACs? Make sure they are not lost in the bigger UPACs
 - Ensure that the subcommittees are following the bylaws and have good communication between the UPACs. See how they can program as an overarching body, work with smaller UPACs
- Did you submit an appeal for a budget for a club you were not a part of? Explain incident from top down
 - Asked by a person to submit the appeal on behalf of club
 - UPAC Sound
 - WeRGold account, looking into why sound was not getting some money. A conversation that occurred during budgeting, problems with the entire time frame
 - Money from WeRGold was assigned to other equipment
- Would like to see P-Board reach out to campus more, e.g. clubs that want to show movies could go to UPAC Cinema for help, wants more outreach

Motion 4: Confirm appointment of Andrew as chair of UPAC

Merits?

Dan cannot vote

- Shannon worked with Andrew on bus ops, sometimes when he communicates he communicates to get the decision that he wants, felt that in the SVA he may have misinformed some vets, often feels the smaller UPACs are not represented well. Communication is huge in leadership, especially when you are P-Board chair
- Kay-does not think it is appropriate to communicate personal feelings to the board, especially when it has been only one interaction. Andrew is dedicated and does his job now well. As a UPAC member, strongly supports Andrew as P-Board chair
- Others work just as hard as he does

5-6-6

Motion fails

“I appoint Caitlin McCleery as interim P-Board Chair until the P-Board can hold another election at their earliest convenience”-Matt Rand, President of the Union

Publications Suite

Rasika to present about the publications suite and the SVA Lounge

Primary option attached

Requirements for veterans reached, eliminates any unused area

Costs: \$60,513 total for renovations, furniture, AV System, AV System not necessarily needed (reduce \$8000)

Discussion

- Any thought into any other amenities? Lockers, area for children, etc
 - Not really, could build lockers maybe, cubbies of some sort, closet designated so they can use as they see fit
- No door there in entrance to Poly, but can leave the door there because it exists now
- Any progress for grant money?
 - Renovation will be covered by the union, grants would be used to furnish the room
 - Just need something to start with. Must apply for the next academic year/fiscal year for the grant, wouldn't be able to get the grant in time, would have to apply for the next year. Must have space first and then can apply for grant
- Could be renovated this summer (possibility), must go in front of the president to be approved
- Chip had begun to talk with Dr. Jackson and Shannon and Chip met with her over winter break and discussed it seriously
- Renovation itself makes a lot of sense, main concern was the area of the lounge because public (enough) and a nice size. Would rather have the grant pay for the AV System and furniture, but do not know what will look best in front of Dr. Jackson.
- Shannon talked to Martha- need to have itemized things to do, okay as long as attached to the motion
- If furniture and AV system for all of the rooms? No just for the new room. The other rooms don't need that? Nope using that is there already
- We should give them furniture so they don't have to wait a year to get furniture. Dr. Jackson would want to see it whole
- Can also use grant to develop their needs as SVA grows as a club
- Access to the room? Will be discussed later

Motion 5: reallocate \$60, 513 from Facilities to renovate the Publications Suite as attached Edited to say “pending approval from the Institute”

What happens if it costs more?

Maybe put a cap on it or maybe 10% more? Say not to exceed \$70,000

Do we have this money? Yes. Right now the plan is to spend it out of Facilities, just overspend slightly because have \$60k right now. Okay with it because no other large scale projects happening anytime soon

Motion now reads: reallocate up to \$70k pending approval from the Institute

Merits?

S&W are all for it as long as they get an office

Poly wants the door, they want to reserve the meeting space for composing

This is just to approve the renovation, not specifying what it is actually for

16-0-1

Motion passes!

Motion 6: Designate room RU 3314 and RU 3419 in the layout attached at the SVL pending approval from the institute

Wording?

Lounge connotation; room vs. lounge. Vets like the lounge!

Merits?

16-0-1

Motion passes!

Motion 7: Designate room RU 3428 and 3418 to the Poly pending approval from the Institute

Merits?

Those are already the room numbers, why are we doing this again?

Because we are renovating, designating the two spaces on the new layout

16-0-1

Motion passes!

Motion 8: Task Bus Ops with finding S&W an office of comparable size to their current office by May 4th, 2017 pending approval of the Institute

Wording?

Why that date? That's reading days, so no meeting, could do an email vote. Would rather say may 3 because last day of classes. Will be with new board

Not assigning room because want to talk to UPAC and decide

Maybe not a date because the Institute may not approve by then

Merits?

Why aren't we assigning that?

Thought was that because UPAC is expanding and they are looking for a bigger space, we might want to offer it to them and see what they want. S&W would move into UPAC if they took the new space or S&W would get the new space

Would not move in until renovation is done

Did you talk to S&W about what room they would prefer?

The larger room. Unfair to keep them in limbo. Telling them they are secure and then before the renovation is done re-evaluate the need of space.

Nobody can be in the rooms during construction anyway, will not have a space during construction and by saying this we are binding ourselves to do something. Tasking someone to find their space.

Motion now reads: before conclusion of construction pending institute

Final questions? Clarify renovations of publications suite

13-1-3

Motion passes!

Motion 9: task Bus Ops with investigating any potential plans to move the SVL to Student welcome Lobby

Wording?

Is this saying they will start currently or in the future? Start now.

Merits?

Do you know about this and would you want to move?

Thinks it would be a great location for them, but is happy with whatever the Board wants to do.

Sid from the Poly-Poly needs a space that is close to their office so wants the SVL to move downstairs as soon as possible, was under the impression they could reserve the "meeting room" on Tuesday nights but is currently labeled as the SVL

Would Phalanx meet the needs of the space? Yes, but too far away.

Poly would like this to be done ASAP so they can do their Tuesday night

Might be problems because of Alumni Donations and the GM/PU Lounge

If we want to talk about the poly in this motion then we should add them or else it should be a different motion. Take out any mention of where to move the SVL

Motion now reads: removes to student welcome lobby, can investigate moving the SVL to a different location within the Union

Merits?

This is a project for Bus Ops to do, allowing them to look into places. Didn't we already do this?

Relocation from this room

In the interim, looking for another space, how is the poly going to manage? Should be addressed in a different conversation

Want to give the Student vets a better space

Creates a project for bus ops

Conrad does not believe this needs to be done

Try to consider what the veterans want/their needs/alumni, etc.

Regardless of whether we tell them to do this or not. This room isn't the best possible space, want something more open and handicap accessible.

14-1-2

Motion passes

Motion 10: close the meeting

Passes

Motion 11: invite Union administrative staff into the meeting and Dan Rogers

Passes

Motion 12: upgrade/purchase the attached list pending approval from the Institute

15-0-2

Motion passes!

Motion 13: Move to open the meeting

Motion passes

Director's report:

- 3 candidates coming in, hoping for a decision by the end of Reading Days, look out for an email vote
- Position for Director or Rec and Fitness/Wellness

Senate Report:

- Chairs were approved
- Greek senator election on Wednesday will be entered into a raffle; must be greek to vote

Member's Report:

ECAV After Dark tomorrow

Procedural budgeting guidelines!!!

President's report:

Lupus walk coming up, appointing the new board and going before the Senate on Monday

Club transition docs, folder in the drive

Last meeting of this board-thank you!



Agenda
April 20th, 2017

1. Roll Call @ 7:30 PM
2. Welcome
3. Presentations
 - a. UPAC Reallocation – 7:35 PM
 - b. Sports Car Approval – 7:50 PM
 - c. RPI TV Training Discussion– 8:05 PM
 - d. P-Board Appointment – 8:30 PM
 - e. Publication Suite Renovation and Veteran’s Lounge Assignment – 8:45 PM
 - f. Union Equipment Purchases –9:30 PM
4. Reports:
 - a. Director’s Report
 - b. Senate Report
 - c. President’s Report
 - d. Member Reports

Contingencies FY17 Beginning Balance \$32,500
Contingencies FY17 current balance \$18,278.65

Contingencies FY16 Beginning Balance \$27,528
Contingencies FY16 this week \$7,320.30

Rensselaer Union
Executive Board

Motion # 1

Date: 4/20/17

I move that the Rensselaer Union Executive Board reallocate \$2080
from Program A, Goal 4; \$911 from program F
Goal 4; and \$249 from Program B, goal 1.
to ECAV After Dark. IR JF

So moved by:

Ines Roman
Signature

Ines Roman
Printed Name

Seconded by:

[Signature]
Signature

John Fantelli
Printed Name

For Against Abstaining

10 - 0 - 5

Motion: Passes/Fails

UPAC General
CLUB

302419
ACCOUNT NUMBER

4/17/17
DATE SUBMITTED

Caitlin McCleery
HEAD CLUB OFFICER

Caitlin McCleery
PRESENTER

(609) 204-7281 / mcleec@rpi.edu
PHONE NUMBER / EMAIL

No. of Members RPI Students: ~25 Dues/person/yr.: \$ 0
Faculty/Staff: 0 \$ 0
Community: 0 \$ 0

Present Rensselaer Union Subsidy: \$ 16,260.00

REQUEST SUMMARY: Please list items/services to be purchased and their costs.

Item/Service	EXPENSE	INCOME
1. ECUV After hour Reallocation	\$ 3240	
2.	\$	
3.	\$	
4.	\$	
5.	\$	
Total	\$ 3240	Total \$
Additional Subsidy Request Total \$ 0		(reallocation)
(Expense less Income)		

JUSTIFICATION & EXPLANATION:

Has this been requested before? yes (money is being reallocated to an existing program)
(for example: at budgeting or prior E-Board meeting)

Please attach another sheet with the following information:

- a. Detail of items/services to be purchased. (copy of quote, etc.)
- b. Demonstration of need/interest, or background.
- c. How will this impact future budgets. (service/maintenance cost, replacement cost, etc.)
- d. Up to date Banner printout (available through SARP)

Reviewed by Chris Roman DATE _____
 Executive Board Representative

Reviewed by Caitlin McCleery DATE 4/17/17
 Rensselaer Union Finance Coordinator

Reviewed by any name DATE 4/17/17
 Rensselaer Union SARP

COMMENTS by Rensselaer Union SARP

supported!

COMMENTS by E-Board Representative

Reallocation to cover budgeted event that had to be reduced to cover for a NAB. I support this.

- Approved
- Reserves
- Not Approved
- Contingency



**UPAC General
Reallocation Proposal**

For several years, the After Dark events have provided entertainment as late-night alternative programs several times throughout the year. These events are held in different venues on campus and are meant to interest a wide variety of students. However, in the past few semesters, the events have been planned irregularly due to a lack of clarity in student leadership responsibilities. As a result, beginning this year, UPAC General is responsible for managing the planning of After Dark events and the budgets associated with them. During NRB, some of the UPAC General budget was used by FYE to support the NRB Union, Armory and ECAV After Darks. We have reallocated money between programs throughout the year to replace what was used, and are now requesting to reallocate to the ECAV After Dark program, which is planned for April 21st.

The money budgeted to be used to attend the NACA conference was not used (spent from an Admin office budget instead), and there is money remaining from after Armory After Dark. In addition, much of the Poly advertisement funding for all of the UPACs has not been used. A summary of the amounts we are requesting to reallocate is shown below:

Budget Program	Budget Goal	Amount
A	1	\$2080
F	4	\$911
B	1	\$249
	Total	\$3240

This will be used to replace funds that were previously used or reallocated, and to supplement the originally budgeted amount (by \$300) to support additional entertainment planned for the event. There is one event planned for after ECAV After Dark (UPAC Field Day), and this reallocation will not affect its budgeting.

Rensselaer Union
Executive Board

Motion # 2

Date: 4/20/17

I move that the Rensselaer Union Executive Board approve the RSCA
to attend Lebanon Valley Dragway racing events
and reallocate \$120 from Goal A program 1
to this new program. In addition, grant
an additional \$38 subsidy from Anti Club
contingencies to Goal B for their eval of
Semester BBA.

So moved by:

Stephanie Kern-Alley
Signature

Stephanie Kern-Alley
Printed Name

Seconded by:

Thomas Alappat
Signature

Thomas Alappat
Printed Name

For Against Abstaining

Motion: Passes/Fails

15 - 0 - 2

Sports Club Association
CLUB

302062
ACCOUNT NUMBER

4-17-17
DATE SUBMITTED

Carson Coelhe
HEAD CLUB OFFICER

Carson Coelhe
PRESENTER

coelhe@rpi.edu
PHONE NUMBER / EMAIL

No. of Members RPI Students: 10 Dues/person/yr.: \$ 0
Faculty/Staff: 2 \$ 0
Community: _____ \$ _____

Present Rensselaer Union Subsidy: \$ 120

REQUEST SUMMARY: Please list items/services to be purchased and their costs.

Item/Service	EXPENSE	INCOME
1. <u>Tickets</u>	\$ <u>150</u>	1. _____ \$ _____
2. <u>UBA</u>	\$ <u>60</u>	2. _____ \$ _____
3. _____	\$ _____	3. _____ \$ _____
4. _____	\$ _____	4. _____ \$ _____
5. _____	\$ _____	5. _____ \$ _____
Total \$ <u>210</u>		Total \$ _____
Additional Subsidy Request Total \$ <u>38</u>		(Expense less Income)

JUSTIFICATION & EXPLANATION:

Has this been requested before? _____
(for example: at budgeting or prior E-Board meeting)

Please attach another sheet with the following information:

- Detail of items/services to be purchased. (copy of quote, etc.)
- Demonstration of need/interest, or background.
- How will this impact future budgets. (service/maintenance cost, replacement cost, etc.)
- Up to date Banner printout (available through SARP)

Reviewed by [Signature] DATE 4/17/17
Executive Board Representative
Reviewed by [Signature] DATE 4/18/17
Rensselaer Union Finance Coordinator
Reviewed by [Signature] DATE 4-17-17
Rensselaer Union SARP

COMMENTS by Rensselaer Union SARP

7100 Subsidy 2.172.62 in total - 528.62 remains for use
120.00

COMMENTS by E-Board Representative

210 - needed for total
538 more

- Approved Reserves
 Not Approved Contingency



Rensselaer Sports Car Association

RPI Union Executive Board Proposal

April 17th 2017

The Rensselaer Sports Car Association (RSCA) traditionally held student-run autocross events at RPI parking lots. RSCA has been self-sufficient, running three events per semester, with each autocross event bringing in an average of \$80. Profits from the autocross events went toward a club BBQ held at the student auto shop.

Due to recent changes by risk management, the RSCA and other auto clubs are no longer permitted to hold races on RPI property. The on-campus autocross events were the main club event and sole source of income for RSCA. In lieu of the autocross events, the RSCA has come up with a new plan for the spring 2017 semester.

Our proposal is to reallocate current budget dollars and request additional funding. We would like to have a subsidized club trip to spectate races at the Lebanon Valley Dragway in West Lebanon, NY (45 minutes from campus). Lebanon Valley hosts drag racing events every Friday, Saturday, and Sunday from April to October. We plan on attending one event for the balance of this semester. Spectator tickets are \$10/person and we anticipate 15 people to attend. This is \$150.

Secondly, RSCA has 10 undergraduate members and 2 graduate members. We plan on holding an end of semester BBQ, and are planning for the standard \$5/activity fee paying member, totaling \$60. We currently have \$172.62 in our budget. To do both events, we need to have an additional \$38 subsidy. Thank you for your consideration.

Lebanon Valley Dragway

1746 U.S. Route 20

West Lebanon, New York 12195

<http://www.dragway.com/>

